

Commonwealth Of Virginia

eVA/ERP Integration Issues Documentation

Project Team Members

Name	Agency
Marc Berlove	CGI-AMS
Richard Carr	CGI-AMS
Jim Dye	SUNGARD SCT
Elsa Everling	SUNGARD SCT
Jan Fatouros	DGS
Carrie Gillotte	GMU
Bill Hardiman	GMU
Maria Hatcher	DGS
Lynette Jenkins	CWM
Jerri Kemp	ODU
Berni Kenney	CWM
Marion Lancaster	DGS
Mike Little	ODU
Parvin Mirshahi	DGS
Ismail Mohideen	CGI-AMS
Linda Orr	CWM
Rachel Pace	CWM
William Robbins	CWM
Dan Rogerson	ODU

Issue Management Log

Issues are defined as requirements that cannot be resolved at the individual level. The approach consists of issue control mechanisms and a defined process that enables the project team to identify, address, and prioritize problems and issues.

Project Title: EVA/ERP SCT Banner Integration
 Proponent Agency: Department of General Services
 Prepared by: Maria F. Hatcher

<u>Issue #</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Issue Description</u>	<u>Date Identified</u>	<u>Assigned To</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Date Resolved</u>
001	Banner	Banner PO # max length is 8 chars. Is it the same in Luminis integration? Can the eVA PO number be used as the Banner PO#?	9/7/2004	CWM – Rachel Pace	According to the LDI XML doc. the max length is 20 chars. Currently the eVA PO number is stored in a separate field.	
002	Banner	Which field is the eVA PO # stored? Can a different field be used? What is the data type and maximum length of each of these fields?	9/7/2004	CWM – Rachel Pace	According to the LDI XML doc. the max length is 8 chars. XML Tag Name is OrderNumberCross Reference.	
003	eVA	What is the PO number assigned to the first eVA PO? What is the realistic maximum size for integration?	9/7/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS - Marion Lancaster	The first PO number assigned is DO13, created 3/2/2001.	
004	Banner/ Luminis	Clarification - Does SCT integration create requisition?	9/7/2004	CWM – Rachel Pace	Yes it can, but if created cannot do PO integration.	
005	Banner/ Luminis	If answer to issue 004 is 'Yes', how is the requisition number handled?	9/7/2004	CWM – Rachel Pace		1/10/2005
006	eVA	AMS integration does not create Pcard order information to confirm that requisition went to order? Is alternative order export?	9/7/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster	Yes, Pcard order information will be available the following day. Will be available either through the order export or via an	1/10/2005

<u>Issue #</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Issue Description</u>	<u>Date Identified</u>	<u>Assigned To</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Date Resolved</u>
					XML message. Need further discussions with AMS.	
007	eVA	BizTalk message broker will pass both eVA 'preparer' and 'on behalf of' login ids to SCT schools, can eVA also provide the email address for both logins?	9/7/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS – Maria Hatcher	It was decided that BizTalk will pass the requester 'on behalf of' login id and the email address of the requester (on behalf of person) login id.	
008	Banner	How does the eVA login ids relate to Banner login ids? Will there be a crosswalk in Banner?	9/13/2004	CWM – Rachel Pace	The eVA login id of the requester (on behalf of person) and the email address of the requester will be sent to Banner. Banner will be responsible for any crosswalk.	
009	Banner	What are the problems and resolutions with agency financial periods that may not be open? For instance if user enters a Fiscal Year on the requisition header that is not open. May not want to stop the ordering even if period is not open in Banner	9/7/2004	CWM/ODU Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson	The period must be opened in Banner for requisition/purchase order validation to pass.	
010	Banner	What system and procedural changes must be made to improve vendor matching between William and Mary Banner and eVA? Does Banner need to have an exact match?	9/7/2004	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson		
011	eVA/ Banner	What are the maximum number of integers and decimal positions in eVA?	9/7/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS&ODU	Banner total length is 12 with two decimal positions.	

<u>Issue #</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Issue Description</u>	<u>Date Identified</u>	<u>Assigned To</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Date Resolved</u>
		(quantity and dollars) What are they in Banner?		Maria Hatcher Dan Rogerson		
012	eVA/ Banner	How will vendors be synchronized between eVA and Banner?	9/13/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS & CWM/ODU Maria Hatcher (eVA) Dan Rogerson Rachel Pace	Banner API does not accept orders for ad hoc vendors. Vendor must exist in Banner for Req/PO to pass validation.	
013	eVA/ Banner	Which system will be responsible for keeping vendor W-9 tax related Information?	9/13/2004	DGS – Marion Lancaster	Currently eVA does not keep the W-9 data and there are no plans to maintain this information in eVA.	1/10/2005
014	Banner	CWM is currently not synching Bill To and Ship To with eVA. Do they need to? If so, will there be a crosswalk on the CWM side?	9/7/2004	CWM – Rachel Pace	X-walk can be built in eVA by adding ERP address id (URL) to address records. eVA will pass ERP address id, eVA Bill To and eVA Ship To id.	1/10/2005
015	eVA	Can comments and attachments be integrated? (Banner does not store attachments). What is the format and length of comments? Can we have both "send to vendor" and not?	9/7/2004	DGS\CGI-AMS - Marion Lancaster	Attachments are not integrated. Requisition comments that have been marked as "Include on Purchase Order" will be sent to the Banner. Only the first 300 bytes of each eligible comment will be sent.	1/10/2005
016	Banner	How do we handle ad-hoc	9/7/2004	CWM/ODU		

<u>Issue #</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Issue Description</u>	<u>Date Identified</u>	<u>Assigned To</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Date Resolved</u>
		vendor and/or location orders?		Rachel Pace Dan Rogerson		
017	eVA	What is the status on only sending two position state codes for ad-hoc orders?	9/7/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS Marion Lancaster		
018	eVA	Is vendor SWAM information available to be passed to the agencies?	9/13/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS Marion Lancaster	There are no SWAM fields in the SCT Banner XML. SCT group decided this is not an issue since SWAM information is available on eVA vendor reports.	1/10/2005
019	eVA	BizTalk needs to crosswalk UNSPSC to NIGP.	9/13/2004	DGS Maria Hatcher		
020	eVA	BizTalk may need to crosswalk NIGP to SUBOBJECT.	9/13/2004	DGS Maria Hatcher	This crosswalk is not required as long as the eVA NIGP code is passed to Banner.	1/10/2005
021	Banner	Is the United Nation Unit of Measure coding scheme standard of Banner?	9/13/2004	CWM/ODU Rachel Pace Dan Rogerson	Not a standard in Banner, but universities will load the coding scheme into Banner.	1/10/2005
022	eVA	Is description of agency use fields available through the integration?	9/13/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS Marion Lancaster		
023	eVA	Is ERP address id for Bill/Ship to addresses available through the integration?	9/13/2004	DGS/CGI-AMS Marion Lancaster		
024	Banner/ eVA	Commodity Code description in eVA can be up to 2000 chars. Banner maximum length is 50 chars? How is truncation handled?	9/13/2004	DGS/CWM/ODU Maria Hatcher Rachel Pace Dan		

<u>Issue #</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Issue Description</u>	<u>Date Identified</u>	<u>Assigned To</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Date Resolved</u>
				Rogerson		
025	Banner	Split accounting percentage rounding problem in Banner. How should Banner handle the split accounting percentage when it recalculates the percentage?	9/13/2004	CWM/ODU Rachel Pace Dan Rogerson	The Banner API handles accounting by line item. Banner will not try to recalculate percentages on split accounting.	1/10/2005
026	Banner	How does Banner API handle the index code? What is Banner expecting as the FOAPAL string?	9/13/2004	CWM/DOU Rachel Pace Dan Rogerson	Banner API explodes FOAPAL index.	
027	Banner	SCT XML has field for Transaction Date (required field) – the date on which the purchase order should be booked in the financial system. What date should be used? Is this the same for all institutions?	12/7/2004	CWM/ODU Rachel Pace Dan Rogerson		
028	Banner	SCT XML has a field for SupplierReferenceNumber (comment type field), with a definition that says “number of the agreement to which the purchase of the item should be associated”. Should this be the eVA Contract Number? Or the SupplierPartNumber? Other?	12/7/2004	CWM/ODU Rachel Pace	CWM would like the field to be populated with an eVA user defined field. Group agreed. DGS will provide universities with eVA user defined field available to be used. It will be the same field for all universities.	
029	Banner	SCT XML has several cases where the field says that the value is an “Institution defined value”, such as Supplier Address Type (ex. Shipping, business location, etc.), Supplier StateorProvince, SupplierNation, and	12/7/2004	CWM Rachel Pace		

<u>Issue #</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Issue Description</u>	<u>Date Identified</u>	<u>Assigned To</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Date Resolved</u>
		SupplierPhoneType. What should BizTalk use for these kinds of values?				
030	Banner	SCT Banner XML has one 9 character field for SUPPLIERID (SPRIDEN_ID). BizTalk can provide an option per university of whether or not to put the Vendor DUNS number or the TAX ID in that field. Should universities ask SCT to have separate fields for TAX ID and DUNS?	12/7/2004	CWM/ODU Rachel Pace Dan Rogerson		
031	Banner	SCT Banner XML has a 6 character SHIPTOCODE. Should we use the eVA ShipTo Address ID or should we use the eVA ShipTo Address ERP X-Ref field (or should BizTalk provide an option for each university?)	12/7/2004	CWM Rachel Pace	The SCT group decided on BizTalk providing an option for each university.	1/10/2005
032	Banner	Error messages sent back to eVA. Is one message sent for multiple errors or one message for each error? Is length of error code 6 positions?	12/7/2004	CWM Rachel Pace		
033	Banner/ BizTalk/ eVA	How is messaging handled if Banner, BizTalk or eVA is down?	1/24/2005	CWM- Rachel Pace, DGS/CGI- AMS-Maria Hatcher		

Issue

Issue Number:	001
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	9/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	Banner PO # max length is 8 chars. Is it the same in Luminis integration? Can the eVA PO number be used as the Banner PO#?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	Assumption – Banner API expects the PO number to come in a certain field. Need more information from SCT.
Alternatives:	CWM would like the eVA PO # to be populated in the Banner PO field.
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	According to the LDI XML doc. the max length is 20 chars. Currently the eVA PO number is stored in a separate field (not kept as the Banner PO field). eVA PO # can be queried on the INB screen, but not on self service screen.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	002
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	Which field is the eVA PO # stored? Can a different field be used to store the value? What is the data type and maximum length of each of these fields?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	<p>The SCT group feels it is a problem having the eVA PO number in a separate field. Have to manually do X-ref. Critical that the eVA PO number be stored in Banner PO number field.</p> <p>eVA PO # can be queried on the INB screen, but not on self service screen.</p> <p>CWM would like the eVA PO # to be populated in the Banner PO field.</p>
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Currently the eVA PO number is stored in a separate field (Doc Ref Code). According to the LDI XML doc. the max length is 20 chars. XML Tag Name is OrderNumberCrossReference.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	003
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	What is the PO number assigned to the first eVA PO? What is the realistic maximum size for integration?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	Need additional information on maximum size from DGS and AMS
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	The first PO number assigned is DO13, created 3/2/2001.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	004
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner/Luminis

Issue

Issue Number:	005
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner/Luminis
Issue Description:	If answer to issue 104 is ‘Yes’, how is the requisition number handled in Banner? Is the eVA or the Banner requisition number stored in Banner?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	If requisitions were integrated, the eVA requisition number would be stored in the Banner XML field RequisitionNumberCrossReference which maps to Banner database field FPBREQH_DOC_REF_CODE. The XML field is 8 characters and the database field is 20 character alphanumeric
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	006
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	AMS integration does not create Pcard order information to confirm that requisition went to order? Is alternative order export?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	The message broker will have a flag per university to indicate whether or not to send Pcard order info through the integration.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Yes, Pcard order information will be available the following day. The information will be available either through the order export or via an XML message. Need further discussions with AMS.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	007
---------------	-----

Issue

Issue Number:	008
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	<p>How does the eVA login ids relate to Banner login ids? Will there be a crosswalk in Banner?</p> <p>If eVA passes email address, how is the email address parsed in Banner to get just the Banner login id ?</p>
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	009
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	<p>What are the problems and resolutions with agency financial periods that may not be open? For instance if user enters a Fiscal Year on the requisition header that is not open. May not want to stop the ordering even if period is not open in Banner.</p> <p>Further discussions: How will the requisition be handled in Banner by each school?</p>
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	According to conversations with SCT the period must be open for the Banner requisition/purchase order validation to pass.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	010
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	What system and procedural changes must be made to improve vendor matching between William and Mary Banner and eVA? Does Banner need to have an exact match?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	CWM is not currently using DUNS for matching - only Tax ID. They are not trying to match address. Dan Rogerson will do a rough draft on how ODU is matching vendors.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Banner needs an exact match. Need to document procedural changes. May consider using eVA workflow to handle deny error code if match not found in Banner.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	011
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS & ODU – Maria Hatcher, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	eVA/Banner
Issue Description:	What are the maximum number of integers and decimal positions in eVA? (quantity and dollars) What are they in Banner?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	Waiting on response from AMS.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Length of Banner amount field is a total of 12 positions with two decimals. The SCT group feels Banner can handle the amount sent by eVA.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	012
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS & CWM/ODU – Maria Hatcher, Dan Rogerson, Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	eVA/Banner
Issue Description:	How will vendors be synchronized between eVA and Banner? This is related to issue #10.
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/20/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	013
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA/Banner
Issue Description:	Which system will be responsible for keeping vendor W-9 tax related information?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	Marion Lancaster will document how eVA can or cannot maintain W-9 information. Dan Rogerson and Rachel Pace will document what Banner expects.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	eVA is not capturing W-9 Vendor information and there are no future plans for eVA to start capturing this information.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	014
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	CWM is currently not synching Bill To and Ship To with eVA. Do they need to? If so, will there be a crosswalk on the CWM side?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	X-walk can be built in eVA by adding ERP address id (URL) to address records. eVA will pass ERP address id, eVA Bill To and eVA Ship To id.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	9/7/2004

Issue

Issue Number:	015
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	Can comments and attachments be integrated? (Banner does not store attachments). What is the format and length of comments? Can we have both "send to vendor" and not?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	Attachments are not integrated; therefore this is not an issue.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Requisition comments that have been marked as "Include on Purchase Order" will be sent to the ERP. Only the first 300 bytes of each eligible comment will be sent.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	016
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	How do we handle ad-hoc vendor and/or location orders?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	Banner requires a valid vendor before an order can be created. Capability to have ad-hoc vendor/location does not exist in Banner.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	The SCT group decided that the message broker should send ad hoc vendor orders to Banner. Universities will decide what to do with them. Schools to develop procedure how to handle in Banner.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	017
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/07/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace, DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	What is the status on only sending two position state codes for ad-hoc orders? What does Banner do with foreign addresses? Also, how is foreign address added in eVA?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	Banner has a country field
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	018
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	Is vendor SWAM information available to be passed to the agencies?
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	<p>Vendor SWAM information as of the date the requisition/order was created.</p> <p>There are no SWAM fields in the SCT Banner XML. Question asked, “If eVA can make SWAM information available, should the BizTalk message broker use an unused Banner field and pass S, W, M, or combinations in the one field?”</p>
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	The SCT group decided this is not an issue since SWAM information is available on eVA vendor reports.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	019
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS – Maria Hatcher
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	BizTalk needs to provide NIGP code (5 digit level) to the agencies. A crosswalk of the UNSPSC to NIGP is required.
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	CWM is not loading commodity code from order export into Banner. ODU uses NIGP.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	9/13/2004

Issue

Issue Number:	020
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS – Maria Hatcher
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	BizTalk may need to crosswalk NIGP to SUBOBJECT. Not sure if SCT schools have a need for this crosswalk.
Potential Impact (if not resolved)	
Additional Information:	NIGP/SUBOBJECT (CARSSUBJECTCODE) crosswalk provided on the order export (requisition line) interface is solely for reporting purposes, not intended to be used for accounting.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	This crosswalk is not required as long as the eVA NIGP code is passed to Banner.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	021
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	eVA uses United Nations Unit of Measure coding scheme. Is it a standard in Banner?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	<p>If United Nations Unit of Measure coding scheme is not standard in Banner, SCT schools will be responsible for loading eVA standard in Banner.</p> <p>May assign error code on integration to be sent to eVA when code is not found in Banner.</p>
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Not a standard in Banner, but universities will load the coding scheme into Banner.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	022
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	Is description of the agency use fields available through the integration? Find out from AMS.
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	Assumption is that only the code is available, not the description. Still waiting on response from AMS.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	023
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CGI-AMS – Marion Lancaster
Issue Source:	eVA
Issue Description:	Is ERP address id for Bill/Ship addresses available through the integration? Need answer from AMS.
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	Still waiting on response from AMS.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	024
Issue Type:	Functional
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	DGS/CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson, Maria Hatcher
Issue Source:	Banner/eVA
Issue Description:	Commodity Code description in eVA can be up to 2000 chars. Banner maximum length is 50 chars? How is truncation handled?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	<p>Truncation of data will be an overall issue between eVA and Banner.</p> <p>Can another field be used in Banner to load the rest of the description?</p> <p>Rachel believes Luminis API truncates the description and only keeps the first 50 characters and there is no option to load the rest of the description into a separate field.</p> <p>Need to verify with SCT how API handles truncation.</p>
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	025
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	Split accounting percentage rounding problem in Banner. How should Banner handle the split accounting percentage when it recalculates the percentage?

Issue

Issue Number:	026
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	09/13/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	How does Banner API handle the index code? What is Banner expecting as the FOAPAL string?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	<p>CWM has two different charts of accounts, one for the school and one for VIMS.</p> <p>The schools need to decide if the same eVA accounting fields can be used to store Banner accounting values (i.e. Index will be stored in the same eVA field for all schools).</p> <p>Maria to identify field that can be used in eVA by all schools to store Banner index code.</p>
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Banner API explodes FOAPAL index. The API is not expecting the full FOAPAL string.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	027
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	12/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	SCT XML has field for Transaction Date (required field) – the date on which the purchase order should be booked in the financial system. What date should be used? Is this the same for all institutions?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	Need SCT assistance. Maria to identify which date field on the eVA order export to use.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	Date PO is loaded into Banner. Date eVA generates the confirmation transaction for the purchase order.
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	028
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	12/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	SCT XML has a field for SupplierReferenceNumber (comment type field), with a definition that says “number of the agreement to which the purchase of the item should be associated”. Should this be the eVA Contract Number? Or the SupplierPartNumber? Other?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	<p>CWM would like the field to be populated with an eVA user defined field. The SCT group agrees. DGS will provide universities with eVA user defined field available to be used. It will be the same field for all universities.</p> <p>Maria to identify which eVA field is not being used now that can be used.</p>
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	029
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	12/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	SCT XML has several cases where the field says that the value is an “Institution defined value”, such as Supplier Address Type (ex. Shipping, business location, etc.), Supplier State or Province, Supplier Nation, and Supplier Phone Type. What should BizTalk use for these kinds of values?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	CWM (Rachel Pace) will work with the universities to define common standard values for these fields.
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	030
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	12/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM/ODU – Rachel Pace, Dan Rogerson
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	SCT Banner XML has one 9 character field for SUPPLIERID (SPRIDEN_ID). BizTalk can provide an option per university of whether or not to put the Vendor DUNS number or the TAX ID in that field. Should universities ask SCT to have separate fields for TAX ID and DUNS?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	Need to discuss with SCT
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	031
Issue Type:	Functional/Technical
Date Issue Identified:	12/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	SCT Banner XML has a 6 character SHIPTOCODE. Should we use the eVA ShipTo Address ID or should we use the eVA ShipTo Address ERP X-Ref field (or should BizTalk provide an option for each university?)
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	The SCT group decided on BizTalk providing an option for each university.
Status:	Closed
Status Date:	1/10/2004

Issue

Issue Number:	032
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	12/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace
Issue Source:	Banner
Issue Description:	Error messages sent back to eVA. Is one message sent for multiple errors or one message for each error? Is length of error code 6 positions?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	<p>Errors can be set in Banner as error or warning. eVA is expecting a 'Yes' or 'No' reply to either go ahead with the transaction or deny the transaction. It is not expecting warnings.</p> <p>Elsa Everling (SCT) will check with the technical people. Not sure how errors are transmitted.</p>
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/10/2005

Issue

Issue Number:	033
Issue Type:	Technical
Date Issue Identified:	12/7/2004
Assigned To:	CWM – Rachel Pace, DGS/CGI-AMS – Maria Hatcher
Issue Source:	Banner/BizTalk/eVA
Issue Description:	How is messaging handled if Banner, BizTalk or eVA is down?
Potential Impact (if not resolved):	
Additional Information:	
Alternatives:	
Recommendations/ Resolutions:	
Status:	Open
Status Date:	1/24/2005